

Discussion Rubric

Criteria	Ratings			Points
This criterion is linked to a Learning Outcome Content/Comprehension	20 to >15.0 pts Excellent Post demonstrates depth of understanding of course content; Addresses discussion prompt completely; offers clear point of view and detail	15 to >10.0 pts Satisfactory Post demonstrates adequate depth of understanding, but does not address all of discussion prompt; point of view is somewhat unclear and detail is limited	10 to >0 pts Needs Improvement Post does not demonstrate depth of understanding of course content; Discussion prompt is minimally addressed; Point of view is unclear and detail is under-developed	20
This criterion is linked to a Learning Outcome Engagement/Classroom Interaction (One to two response posts, please refer to the week's discussion for specific requirements)	20 to >14.0 pts Excellent Submits required number of response posts; Responses extend the discussion by making connections, relating to others' ideas and adding supporting detail	14 to >9.0 pts Satisfactory Submits required number or response posts; Some connections are made with relevant explanation and detail	9 to >0 pts Needs Improvement Responses are not submitted; Responses are generic, limited, do not extend the discussion or add detail	20
This criterion is linked to a Learning Outcome Timeliness	10 pts Excellent Submits initial post by deadline	4 pts Satisfactory Submits initial post one to three days late(after Wednesday)	0 pts Needs Improvement Submits initial post 4 days late(after Sunday)	10
This criterion is linked to a Learning Outcome Spelling/Grammar/Mechanics	10 to >8.0 pts Excellent Posts have 0-1 spelling or grammatical errors; Properly cites work in APA format where required	8 to >5.0 pts Satisfactory Posts have 2-3 spelling or grammatical errors; Cites work in APA format where required with few errors	5 to >0 pts Needs Improvement Posts have 3 or more spelling or grammatical errors; Does not cite work where required	10
Total Points				60